2000 RUTGERS Turfgrass Proceedings



THE NEW JERSEY TURFGRASS ASSOCIATION

In Cooperation With

RUTGERS COOPERATIVE EXTENSION
NEW JERSEY AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION
RUTGERS, THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW JERSEY
NEW BRUNSWICK

Distributed in cooperation with U.S. Department of Agriculture in furtherance of the Acts of Congress of May 8 and June 30, 1914.

Cooperative Extension work in agriculture, home economics, and 4-H. Zane R. Helsel, Director of Extension. Rutgers Cooperative Extension provides information and educational services to all people without regard to sex, race, color, national origin, disability or handicap, or age.

Rutgers Cooperative Extension is an Equal Opportunity Employer.

2000 RUTGERS TURFGRASS PROCEEDINGS

of the

New Jersey Turfgrass Expo December 12-14, 2000 Trump Taj Mahal Atlantic City, New Jersey

> Volume 32 Published July, 2001

The Rutgers Turfgrass Proceedings is published yearly by the Rutgers Center for Turfgrass Science, Rutgers Cooperative Extension, and the New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, Cook College, Rutgers University in cooperation with the New Jersey Turfgrass Association. The purpose of this document is to provide a forum for the dissemination of information and the exchange of ideas and knowledge. The proceedings provide turfgrass managers, research scientists, extension specialists, and industry personnel with opportunities to communicate with co-workers. Through this forum, these professionals also reach a more general audience, which includes the public.

This publication includes lecture notes of papers presented at the 2000 New Jersey Turfgrass Expo. Publication of these lectures pro-

vides a readily available source of information covering a wide range of topics and includes technical and popular presentations of importance to the turfgrass industry.

This proceedings also includes research papers that contain original research findings and reviews of selected subjects in turfgrass science. These papers are presented primarily to facilitate the timely dissemination of original turfgrass research for use by the turfgrass industry.

Special thanks are given to those who have submitted papers for this proceedings, to the New Jersey Turfgrass Association for financial assistance, and to those individuals who have provided support to the Rutgers Turfgrass Research Program at Cook College, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey.

Dr. Ann B. Gould, Editor Dr. Bruce B. Clarke, Coordinator

CHEMICAL CONTROL OF BROWN PATCH IN COLONIAL BENTGRASS

Eric N. Weibel, Pradip R. Majumdar, Gabriel W. Towers, Cynthia L. Frasier, Matthew K. Weibel, Jennifer N. Vaiciunas, Saul S. Vaiciunas, Mark Peacos, and Bruce B. Clarke¹

Fungicides were evaluated in 2000 for their ability to control brown patch (caused by *Rhizoctonia solani*) at the Rutgers Research Farm in North Brunswick, NJ on a colonial bentgrass (*Agrostis tenuis* 'SR 7100') turf maintained under golf course fairway conditions. Turf was established September 1995 on a Norton loam with a pH of 6.1. The site was mowed at 0.4 inch, three times a week, and clippings were collected. Turf was irrigated to prevent drought stress and localized dry spots were controlled with Primer (4 fl oz/1000 ft²) wetting agent on 2 May and 2 June. The site was aerified on 25 April with 0.5 inch hollow tines on 4.0 inch centers.

Fertilizer was applied as 16-4-8 on 7 April (0.63 lb nitrogen (N)/1000 ft²), 11 May (0.51 lb N/1000 ft²), and on 10 June (0.50 lb N/1000 ft²). Preemergent weed control was provided with an application of Betasan 4E (6.8 fl oz/1000 ft²) on 28 April. To suppress dollar spot prior to the start of the study, turf was treated with Daconil Ultrex 82.5SDG (3.8 oz/1000 ft²) on 17 May. Plots were 3 X 9 ft and were arranged in a randomized complete block with four replications.

Fungicides were applied in 2.0 gal of water per 1000 ft² with CO₂ powered sprayer at 30 psi using TeeJet 8003VS flat fan nozzles. Treatments (trt) were initiated on 9 June and were reapplied as indicated in Table 1. Percent turf area infested with *R. solani* was assessed on 28 June, 12 July, 27 July, 10 August, 17 August,

and 31 August. Turf quality was evaluated on 7 September on a 1 to 9 scale, where 9 = best turf quality. Data were subjected to an analysis of variance by Waller-Duncan k-ratio t-test (k = 100) following arcsine transformation.

Brown patch infection was first observed on 26 June. Disease pressure was greatest from the end of July through the middle of August. On 28 June, excellent brown patch control was obtained with all preventive treatments. Chipco Triton 1.7SC (trt 10), Heritage 50WG (trt 15, 16, and 30), Chipco 26GT 2SC + Chipco Signature 80WG (trt 18), Chipco Signature 80WG + ProStar 70W (trt 20), BAS500 02F 20WG (trt 37, 38), and AMS 21618 55W/250SC (trt 40 to 43) were among the most efficacious products evaluated in the study and provided excellent season-long control of brown patch. Good to excellent residual control (13 to 48 days post-treatment) was also observed on 31 August for turf treated with RU 141522B (trt 1), Compass 50WG (trt 2), RU 141522A (trt 3), Compass 50WG + Banner MAXX 1.3MC (trt 4), Medallion 50WG applied every 7 days (trt 6), Chipco Triton 1.7SC (trt 9, 12), TADS12529 70WG (trt 13, 14), WAC79 + Cleary 3336 4.25F (trt 22 to 24), and BAS 505 03F 50WG (trt 39). All of the RU041523A + Genapol 26-L-50 treatments (trt 25 to 29), which were applied at 35 day intervals, provided significantly less disease control than treatments made at shorter intervals (i.e., 7, 14, 21, and 28 days). The 4.0 oz rate of Heritage 50WG (trt

¹Graudate Research Assistant, Senior Laboratory Technician, Graduate Research Assistant, Undergraduate Research Assistant, Graduate Research Assistant, Graduate Research Assistant, Graduate Research Assistant, Senior Greenhouse and Field Technician, and Extension Specialist in Turfgrass Pathology, respectively, New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, Cook College, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick, NJ 08901-8520.

30) was the only 35 day treatment to successfully control brown patch throughout the test.

When examining treatments applied on a curative basis, only Heritage 50WG (trt 36) reduced disease to acceptable levels.

Impact of chemical products on the incidence of brown patch in colonial bentgrass in North Brunswick, NJ: 2000. Table 1.

	Spray		Turf	area infect	Turf area infected (%) per plot¹	plot¹		Turf
Treatment and rate/1000 sq ft	(days) ³	28 June	12 July	27 July	10 Aug.	17 Aug.	31 Aug.	Zualliy- 7 Sept.
1. RU141522B 1.5 fl oz	4							
2. Compass 50WG 0.15 oz	4	1.5 ab	5.8 b-k	6.5 g-n	2.8 e-j	5.8 gh	4.2 c-i	6.5 h-l
3. RU141522A 2 floz	21	0.0 a		3.3 a-f	1.0 b-e	1.0 a-c	3.8 c-g	8.0 m-p
4. Compass 50WG 0.15 oz)	•
Banner MAXX 1.3MC 1 fl oz	21	0.3 a						
5. Medallion-Gowan 50WG 0.25 oz	7							
6. Medallion 50WG 0.25 oz	7							
7. Medallion-Gowan 50WG 0.5 oz	4							
8. Medallion 50WG 0.5 oz	1							
9. Chipco Triton 1.7SC 0.5 fl oz	<u>+</u>							
10. Chipco Triton 1.7SC 1.0 fl oz								
Chipco Triton 1.7SC	21	0.5 a	12.3 j-p	10.3 j-p	6.0 j-o	12.2 k-m	12.5 k-n	5.8 f-j
. Chipco Triton 1.7SC	21							
13. TADS12529 70WG 0.15 oz	4							
14. TADS12529 70WG 0.30 oz	1							
	1							
16. Heritage 50WG 0.3 oz	21					0.0 a		
17. Chipco 26GT 2SC 4.0 fl oz	1			14.3 m-p	10.2 pd	16.2 I-n		
18. Chipco 26GT 2SC 4.0 fl oz								
+Chipco Signature 80WG 4 oz	1	0.0 a	0.0 a	1.5	1.5 c-g	0.8 a-c	2.8 b-e	7.0 j-n
19. ProStar 70WG 2.2 oz	4	0.0 a	16.0 m-s		2.0 d-i	3.2 d-g	-	
20. Chipco Signature 80WG 4.0 oz								
	4	5.3 c-f	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	8.2 n-p
21. ProStar 70W 1.5 oz								
	14	0.8 ab	12.0 i-p	12.5 k-p	1.8 c-h	2.0 b-e	4.2 c-i	6.8 i-m
	7							
+Cleary 3336 4.25F 4.0 fl oz	1 4	0.0 a	6.3 D-I	p-0 0.c	9.2 o-d	9.5 n-k	/.2 g-l	4.8 b-g

Table 1 (continued).

		Spray			Turf	Turf area infected (%) per plot $^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$	nfecte	(%) p	per p	lot1				Turf	-
Ļ	Treatment and rate/1000 sq ft	(days) ³	28 June	12	July	27 ปเ	July	10 Aug.	ğ.	17 Aug.	ğ.	31 Aug.	l g	Quality- 7 Sept.	-ty-
23	WAC79 3 0 fl oz														
7		4	0.0 a	5.0	J-Q	3.8	p	0.4	<u>-</u>	5.8	qh	3.0	c-f	5.0	Ö
24.	WAC79 4.0 fl oz))				
	+Cleary 3336 4.25F 4.0 fl oz	4	0.0 a	5.3	р Q	2.5	a-d	4.8	Ë.	6.5	gh	5.8	<u>-</u> .	5.8	Ē
25.					1						1				
	*Genapol 26-L-50 0.1% v/v	32	2.5 a-d	d 16.5	N-S	19.3	p-r	17.8	ည	32.8	p-r	29.8	Ь	3.5	ap
26.															
	+Genapol 26-L-50 0.1% v/v	35	0.0 a	19.3	S-0	16.8	р <u>-</u> 0	22.8	st	35.0	dr	26.5	Ь	3.8	a-c
27.															
	+Genapol 26-L-50 0.1% v/v	35	2.5 a-d	13.8	<u>-</u>	18.8	bd	13.5	dr	27.0	b-0	28.5	Ь	4.0	a-d
28.	RU041523A 1.9 fl oz														
	*Genapol 26-L-50 0.1% v/v	35	0.0 a	10.3	o-b	0.9	<u>.</u>	8.5	d-m	18.0	mn	26.5	Ь	3.5	ap
29.															
	*Genapol 26-L-50 0.1% v/v	32	0.5 a	4.3	pc	3.3	a-f	6.2	k-p	16.2	드	22.8	bd	3.8	a-c
30.	Heritage 50WG 0.4 oz	32	2.0 ab	3.3	ap	3.3	a-f	0.5	a-c	1.8	p-q	5.0	. -	4.5	a-f
31.															
	+Genapol 26-L-50 0.1% v/v	35^4	10.0 hi	24.0	S	30.5	_	20.2	st	38.5	۷	13.2	<u> </u>	4.2	a-e
32.															
	*Genapol 26-L-50 0.1% v/v	35^4	8.5 f-i	23.5	ত	26.5	qr	25.2	+	52.5	S	21.0	р -0	3.8	a-c
33.	RU041523A 0.94 fl oz														
	+Genapol 26-L-50 0.1% v/v	35^4	6.8 e-h	18.8 م	S-0	19.3	p-r	18.2	હ	38.2	_	16.2	n-p	5.5	<u>-</u> .
34.	RU041523A 1.9 fl c														
	*Genapol 26-L-50 0.1% v/v	35^{4}	8.2 f-i	20.3	s-d	14.5	n-p	9.0	p-d	20.8	2	11.0	Ľ.	5.8	<u>;</u>
35.															
	*Genapol 26-L-50 0.1% v/v	35^4			S	9.2	.으	8.2	0	25.8	do	8.5	h-n	5.8	Ţ
36.	Heritage 50WG 0.4 oz	35^{4}	9.8 g-i	22.3	d-s	12.5	k-p	1.2	p-f	5.0	<u></u> g	<u></u>	a-d	7.5	<u> </u>
37.	BAS 500 02F 20WG 0.5 oz	41				0.8	ap	0.0	Ø	0.0	В	0.5	ap	0.6	р

Table 1 (continued).

Turf Quality² 7 Sept.			7.0 j-n						DAT	20	20	27	34	55	27
	31 Aug.		9.2 i-n					23.0 pg	DAT	13	13	20	27	48	20
plot¹	17 Aug.		6.8 g-j						DAT	9	13	9	13	34	9
ed (%) ber	10 Aug.		3.5 g-k						DAT	9	9	20	9	27	34
Turf area infected (%) per plot¹	27 July		18.8 pg						DAT	9	9	9	20	13	20
	12 July		8.0 d-n						DAT	2	2	12	2	33	2
	28 June		0.0 a						DAT^6	2	2	19	19	19	Ϋ́
Spray	(days) ³	28		1	14	14	14	1	INT ⁵	7	4	21	28	35	354
	Treatment and rate/1000 sq ft	38. BAS 500 02F 20WG 0.9 oz	39. BAS 505 03F 50WG 0.2 oz	-	41. AMS 21618 55W 0.18 oz	42. AMS 21618 250SC 0.29 fl oz		44. Untreated Check							

'Values are means of four replicates. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Waller-Duncan kratio *t*-test (k = 100).

²Turf quality on a scale of 1 to 9, where 9 = best turf quality. Values above 6.0 represent acceptable turf quality.

ments), 28 July (7 day treatment), 4 August (7, 14, and 28 day treatments), 11 August (7 and 21 day treatments), and 18 August (7 ³Fungicides were applied on 9 June (all treatments), 16 June (7 day treatment), 23 June (7 and 14 day treatments), 30 June (7 and 21 day treatments), 7 July (7, 14, 28 treatments), 14 July (7 and 35 preventive day treatments), 21 July (7, 14, and 21 day treatand 14 day treatments).

Treatments 31 to 36 were applied on a curative basis (when the percent turf area infected with brown patch exceeded a threshold of 10%) on 7 July and 11 August.

⁵Spray interval in days.

⁶Days after treatment (DAT) for each spray interval.