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# EFFICACY OF BIOLOGICAL AND CHEMICAL FUNGICIDES FOR THE CONTROL OF DOLLAR SPOT IN CREEPING BENTGRASS 

Eric N. Weibel, Pradip R. Majumdar, Katie Green, Mark Peacos, Paul Lee, Paul Goldberg, Carolyn Zebrowski, John Inguagiato, and Bruce B. Clarke ${ }^{1}$

Biological and chemical fungicides were evaluated in 2003 for their ability to control dollar spot (caused by Sclerotinia homoeocarpa) at the Rutgers Turf Research Farm in North Brunswick, NJ on creeping bentgrass (Agrostis stolonifera Crenshaw) maintained under golf course greens conditions. Turf was established September 1996 on a Norton loam with a pH of 6.5 . Mowing was performed three times weekly at a height of 0.152 inches with clippings collected. The site was irrigated as needed to prevent drought stress. Fertilizer was applied as calcium nitrate $\left(\mathrm{CaNO}_{3} ; 0.1 \mathrm{lb}\right.$ nitrogen $\left.(\mathrm{N}) / 1000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ on 29 April, 16-4-8 ( $0.54 \mathrm{lb} \mathrm{N} / 1000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) on 9 May , as $\mathrm{CaNo}_{3}(0.2 \mathrm{lb}$ $\mathrm{N} / 1000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) on 19 June, and 16-4-8 (0.55 lb N/1000 $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) on 7 October. Localized dry spots were controlled with Primer wetting agent on 20 May and 12 June ( $4.0 \mathrm{fl} \mathrm{oz} / 1000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) and on 30 June ( $2.0 \mathrm{fl} \mathrm{oz} / 1000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ). Bensumec 4LF (2 gal/A) was applied for pre-emergence weed control. Daconil Ultrex 82.5SDG (3.2 $\mathrm{oz} / 1000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) was applied to the entire test area on 27 May to suppress dollar spot prior to the current study. Insect pests were controlled with Turcam 76 on 6 June ( $1.0 \mathrm{oz} / 1000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) and 17 November ( $0.96 \mathrm{oz} / 1000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ). On 28 July, Merit 75WSP ( 0.145 oz/1000 ft²) was applied on 15 July for additional control of insects. The site was aerified with 0.625 -inch hollow tines on 4inch centers and topdressed with a sand root zone mix. Plots were $3 \times 9 \mathrm{ft}$ and were arranged in a randomized complete block with four replications.

Fungicides were applied in water equivalent to 1.9 gal per $1000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ with a $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ powered sprayer at 30 psi using TeeJet 8003VS flat fan nozzles. Treatments (trt) were initiated on 3 June when environmental conditions were conducive to dollar spot development. Fungicides were reapplied at the appropriate intervals as indicated in Tables 1 and 2. Turf was visually
evaluated for number of dollar spot infection centers per plot on 11, 20, and 30 June, 9, 18, and 28 July, 8 and 19 August, and 5 and 17 September; and for percent turf area infested with brown patch (caused by Rhizoctonia solani) on 12 and 20 August. Data were subjected to analysis of variance and means were separated using the Waller-Duncan $k$-ratio $t$-test ( $k=100$ ).

Dollar spot was first observed on 10 June. Disease pressure increased rapidly and became uniform throughout the study by 20 June (Table 1). Disease incidence peaked with more than 150 infection centers per plot (a very high level of disease) on the untreated control (trt 18) by 30 June. Except for the chemical fungicides Banner MAXX 1.3MC (trt 11) and Daconil Ultrex 82.5SDG (trts 13-14), none of the remaining chemical fungicides or biological products adequately controlled dollar spot (i.e., less than 10 infection centers per plot). However, several of the biological entries including DK-58 + Latron B-1956 (trt 6) and TS-21 + Latron B-1956 + Heritage 50WG (trt 9) reduced disease incidence to a level equivalent to the chemical standard Daconil Ultrex 82.5SDG (trts 13-14) on at least two rating dates.

EcoGuard L (trt 1) applied on a 7-day interval resulted in improved control of dollar spot on half of the rating dates, compared to EcoGuard L (trt 2) spayed every 14 days. Alternating EcoGuard L with Daconil Ultrex 82.5SDG (trts 3-4) reduced the incidence of dollar spot compared to Eco Guard L alone (trt 2), but not to an acceptable level. On 18 July, the addition of Banner MAXX 1.3MC to a tank mixture of TS-21 plus Latron B-1956 (trt 10) provided equivalent or better control of dollar spot than did the same rate of Banner MAXX 1.3MC alone (trt 11). The application of a

[^0]non-pathogenic isolate of S. homoeocarpa (trts 1617) provided some suppression of symptoms, but the effect was inconsistent throughout the study. Surprisingly, all of the biological products in this study except trt 3 (EcoGuard L alternated with Daconil UI-
trex 82.5SDG), trt 8 (TS-21 + Latron B-1956), trt 16, and trt 17 provided a level of brown patch control equivalent to the commercial fungicide standard Heritage 50WG (trt 12) on at least one rating date (Table 2). No phytotoxicity was observed.
Table 1. Control of dollar spot in creeping bentgrass with biorational products, North Brunswick, NJ, 2003.

Table 1 (continued).


Table 2. Control of dollar spot in creeping bentgrass with biorational products, North Brunswick, NJ, 2003.

| Treatment and Rate per 1000 sq ft |  | Spray Interval (days) | Number Infection Centers per Plot ${ }^{2}$ |  | Brown Patch (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 5 Sept. | 17 Sept. | 12 Aug. | 20 Aug. |
| 1. | EcoGuard L $20 \mathrm{fl} \mathrm{oz}$. |  | .. 7 | 7.5 a-e | 25.0 de | 12.0 d-g | 5.0 a-c |
| 2. | EcoGuard L 20 fl oz. | .. 14 | 9.8 c-e | 26.3 e | 15.3 e-h | 10.0 a-d |
| 3. | EcoGuard L 20 fl oz $\qquad$ <br> / Daconil Ultrex 82.5SDG 2.75 oz .. | $\begin{gathered} \\ \ldots . . . . ~ \\ \hline \\ \ldots . . \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ | 1.3 ab | 17.5 b-e | 26.5 i | 15.0 de |
| 4. | Daconil Ultrex 82.5SDG 2.75 oz <br> / EcoGuard L 20 fl oz | $\begin{gathered} . . . .14 \\ -\ldots . .14^{\times} \end{gathered}$ | 11.3 c-e | 22.5 c-e | 3.3 a-c | 3.8 a-c |
| 5. | EcoGuard L 15 floz <br> + Daconil Ultrex 82.5SDG 1.8 oz .... | $\text { . } 14$ | 5.5 a-c | 26.3 e | 8.3 b-e | 3.8 a-c |
| 6. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DK-58 } 11.8 \mathrm{fl} \text { oz } \\ & \text { + Latron B-1956 } 4.18 \mathrm{fl} \mathrm{oz} . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ \end{aligned}$ | $\text { ..... } 7$ | 5.8 a-d | 3.8 a | 9.8 c-f | 8.8 a-d |
| 7. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DK-60 } 11.8 \mathrm{fl} \text { oz } \\ & \text { + Latron B-1956 } 4.18 \mathrm{fl} \mathrm{oz} \text {. } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { .. } 7$ | 7.5 a-e | 12.5 a-c | 9.8 c-f | 7.5 a-d |
| 8. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TS-21 } 14.6 \mathrm{fl} \text { oz } \\ & \text { + Latron B-1956 } 4.18 \mathrm{fl} \text { oz.......... } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { .. } 7$ | 7.5 a-e | 7.8 ab | 11.3 d-g | 25.0 f |
|  | TS-21 14.6 fl oz <br> + Latron B-1956 4.18 fl oz <br> + Heritage 50WG 0.2 oz | $\ldots . .14$ | 7.5 a-e | 22.5 c-e | 0.0 a | 5.0 a-c |
|  | TS-21 14.6 fl oz <br> + Latron B-1956 4.18 fl oz <br> + Banner MAXX 1.3MC 1.0 fl oz ... |  | 0.0 a | 3.8 a | 2.5 a-c | 7.5 a-d |
| 11. | Banner MAXX 1.3MC $1.0 \mathrm{fl} \mathrm{oz} \mathrm{.......}$. | -... 21 | 8.8 b-e | 15.0 b-c | 7.3 a-d | 11.3 b-e |
| 12. | Heritage 50WG $0.2 \mathrm{oz................}$. | .... 14 | 13.8 de | 20.0 c-e | 2.8 a-c | 3.8 a-c |
| 13. | Daconil Ultrex 82.5SDG 2.75 oz ..... | ..... 14 | 0.0 a | 13.8 a-c | 0.0 a | 1.3 a |
|  | Daconil Ultrex 82.5SDG 1.8 oz ....... | ..... 14 | 4.8 a-c | 15.0 bc | 1.0 ab | 2.5 ab |
| 15. | Ag Bio Solution 100 ppm ............... | ... Var ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 8.3 b-e | 12.5 a-c | 6.5 a-d | 10.0 a-d |
| 16. | Sclerotinia homoeocarpa 2.0 oz | Once ${ }^{\text {v }}$ | 7.0 a-e | 22.5 c-e | 15.8 f-h | 18.8 ef |
| 17. | Sclerotinia homoeocarpa 2.0 oz .... | .... $14^{\text {v }}$ | 9.0 b-e | 27.5 e | 18.3 gh | 12.5 c-e |
| 18. | Untreated Control | .-- | 15.0 e | 21.3 c-e | 20.8 hi | 23.8 f |
|  |  | INT ${ }^{\text {u }}$ | DAT ${ }^{\text {t }}$ | DAT | DAT | DAT |
|  |  | 7 | 17 | 29 | 7 | 1 |
|  |  | 14 | 24 | 36 | 14 | 8 |
|  |  | 21 | 31 | 43 |  | 15 |

${ }^{2}$ Values are means of four replications. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Waller-Duncan $k$-ratio $t$-test ( $k=100$ ).
${ }^{\text {y }}$ Fungicides were applied on 3 June (all treatments, except treatment 16, 17), 10 June ( 7 day treatment, and treatments 16,17), 17 June ( 7 and 14 day treatments), 24 June ( 7 and 21 day treatments), 1 July ( 7 and 14 day treatments), 8 July ( 7 day treatment), 15 July ( 7,14 , and 21 day treatments), 22 July ( 7 day treatment), 29 July ( 7 and 14 day treatments), 5 August ( 7 and 21 day treatments), 12 August ( 7 and 14 day treatments), and 19 August ( 7 day treatment).

Table 2 (continued).
× For treatment 3, EcoGuard L was applied on 3 June, 1 July, and 29 July, whereas Daconil Ultrex 82.5SDG was applied on 17 June, 15 July, and 12 August. For treatment 4, Daconil Ultrex 82.5 SDG was applied on 3 June, 1 July, and 29 July, whereas EcoGuard L was applied on 17 June, 15 July, and 12 August.
${ }^{w}$ Treatment 15 was applied every Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday from 3 June to 1 July. The Ag Bio EO solution was discontinued from 3 July to 14 July. Daconil Ultrex 82.5 SDG at $3.2 \mathrm{oz} / 1000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ was applied in place of treatment 15 on 8 July. The Ag Bio Solution was reapplied, as described, from 15 July to 19 August.
${ }^{\vee}$ Treatment 16 was applied once on 10 June, whereas treatment 17 was applied every two weeks from 10 June through 12 August. Both treatments were applied after 5 pm with a shaker bottle and were watered in with 0.5 gal water per plot.
${ }^{\text {u }}$ Spray interval in days.
${ }^{t}$ Days after treatment (DAT) for each spray interval.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Graduate Assistant, Senior Laboratory Technician, Research Assistant, Senior Greenhouse and Field Technician, Research Assistant, Research Assistant, Research Assistant, Graduate Assistant, and Extension Specialist in Turfgrass Pathology, respectively, New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, Cook College, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick, NJ 08901-8520.

