

# **2008 Turfgrass Proceedings**

# The New Jersey Turfgrass Association

In Cooperation with
Rutgers Center for Turfgrass Science
Rutgers Cooperative Extension

## 2008 RUTGERS TURFGRASS PROCEEDINGS

of the

New Jersey Turfgrass Expo December 9-11, 2008 Trump Taj Mahal Atlantic City, New Jersey

The Rutgers Turfgrass Proceedings is published yearly by the Rutgers Center for Turfgrass Science, Rutgers Cooperative Extension, and the New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, School of Environmental and Biological Sciences, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey in cooperation with the New Jersey Turfgrass Association. The purpose of this document is to provide a forum for the dissemination of information and the exchange of ideas and knowledge. The proceedings provide turfgrass managers, research scientists, extension specialists, and industry personnel with opportunities to communicate with co-workers. Through this forum, these professionals also reach a more general audience, which includes the public.

This publication includes lecture notes of papers presented at the 2008 New Jersey Turfgrass Expo. Publication of these lectures provides a readily avail-

able source of information covering a wide range of topics and includes technical and popular presentations of importance to the turfgrass industry.

This proceedings also includes research papers that contain original research findings and reviews of selected subjects in turfgrass science. These papers are presented primarily to facilitate the timely dissemination of original turfgrass research for use by the turfgrass industry.

Special thanks are given to those who have submitted papers for this proceedings, to the New Jersey Turfgrass Association for financial assistance, and to Barbara Fitzgerald, Marlene Karasik, and Ann Diglio for administrative and secretarial support.

Dr. Ann Brooks Gould, Editor Dr. Bruce B. Clarke, Coordinator

## PERFORMANCE OF FINE FESCUE CULTIVARS AND SELECTIONS IN NEW JERSEY TURF TRIALS

Robert R. Shortell, Dirk A. Smith, Ronald F. Bara, Melissa M. Wilson, Eric N. Weibel, James A. Murphy, Stacy A. Bonos, and William A. Meyer<sup>1</sup>

The fine fescues include several species from the genus *Festuca*. They are commonly identified by their very fine leaf texture. The species used for turf include both bunch types [Chewings fescue (*Festuca rubra* L. subsp. *fallax* (Thuill.) Nyman), hard fescue (*F. brevipila* R. Tracey), sheep fescue (*F. ovina* L.), and blue fescue (*F. glauca* Vill.)] as well as rhizomatous types [slender creeping red fescue (*F. rubra* L. var. *littoralis* Vasey ex Beal) and strong creeping red fescue (*F. rubra* L. subsp. *rubra*)].

Fine fescues are well suited for many low maintenance sites because they tolerate drought and shade and have a low requirement for nitrogen fertility. Strong creeping and slender creeping red fescues spread by producing rhizomes and tend to form an open turf canopy. Of the two, the strong creeping red fescues are more rhizomatous and have a more open growth habit. Strong creeping red fescues are often used as a companion grass in mixtures with cultivars of Kentucky bluegrass that have complementary color, growth habit, and density. Compared to most Kentucky bluegrasses, these fescues have better seedling vigor and establishment, and will dominate in heavily shaded areas where Kentucky bluegrass is not well adapted.

Hard fescues are bunch type grasses that are fairly resistant to disease under low maintenance. These fescues grow slowly, which helps to reduce maintenance costs, and they are commonly used for control of soil erosion in low maintenance areas.

Chewings fescues are also bunch type grasses, and compared to slender and strong creeping red fescues, most of the recently released Chewings cultivars have improved turf-type characteristics such as higher density and finer leaf texture. Chewings

fescues can tolerate a lower mowing height than the red fescues, thus they may be more persistent when blended with Kentucky bluegrasses.

Sheep and blue fescues have stiff, bluish-green leaves and perform better under very low maintenance. Both species are used in wildflower mixes for soil stabilization, and sheep fescues are also used to stabilize sandy soils and banks along irrigation canals. The brilliant bluish foliage of these species is also useful in ornamental landscape plantings. Currently, the Rutgers breeding program is developing blue fescue x hard fescue hybrids to combine the valuable traits of blue fescue with the higher turf quality of hard fescue.

Fine fescues grow best under reduced nitrogen fertilization. Ideally, fine fescue should be fertilized with no more than 1 to 2 lb nitrogen/1000 ft² per year (Turgeon, 2005). Hard, blue, and sheep fescues require less nitrogen fertilizer than the other fine fescue species. With the exception of Chewings fescue, which can be mown closely (0.5-inch height of cut), the other fine fescue species do not tolerate a low height of cut. Mowing heights of 2.5 inches or higher are typically recommended for fine fescues.

Fine fescues that contain the *Neotyphodium* endophyte can exhibit enhanced tolerance to insects, diseases, and environmental stress (Smiley et al., 2005). This endophyte is a fungus that grows internally in the crown and leaf sheath tissues of the turfgrass plant. The impact of endophytes on plant growth are generally not apparent during periods of low environmental stress; under stressful conditions, however, the endophyte-plant relationship produces compounds that improve resistance to many insects that feed above ground, some diseases such as red

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Graduate Assistant, Principle Laboratory Technician, Principle Laboratory Technician, Field Researcher IV, Field Researcher IV, Extension Specialist in Turfgrass Management, Assistant Professor, and Research Professor, respectively, New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, School of Environmental and Biological Sciences, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick, NJ 08901-8520.

thread (caused by the fungus *Laetisaria fuciformis*) (Bonos et al., 2005; Popay and Bonos, 2005), and some abiotic stresses including drought.

Although tufted hairgrass (*Deschampsia cespitosa* L.) and *Koeleria* sp. are two emerging turfgrass species that are well adapted to low maintenance, they lack the heat and traffic tolerance needed to persist as a turfgrass in the longer, warm summer climates of the United States. Current projects are designed to identify selections from these species that have improved turf-type characteristics. These niche species are an example of the increasing effort to domesticate more native species for use as turfgrasses. Progress is often slow, but steady improvements may transform these plant species into the lower maintenance turfs of tomorrow.

Breeding efforts continue to enhance turf characteristics of the fine fescues and improve resistance to diseases, insects, and environmental stresses. Incorporation of endophytes into improved plant material provides an efficient way to increase stress tolerance. The Rutgers turfgrass breeding program, in cooperation with the National Turfgrass Evaluation Program (NTEP), is involved in an extensive program to evaluate many cultivars and experimental selections for turf performance.

#### **PROCEDURES**

Fine fescues were evaluated in four, moderately-low maintenance trials at the Rutgers Plant Biology and Pathology Research and Extension Farm at Adelphia, NJ (Tables 1, 2, 4, and 5). An additional low maintenance test consisting of fine fescue, tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea* Schreb.), Texas x Kentucky bluegrass hybrids (*Poa arachnifera* Torr. x *P. pratensis* L. hybrids), Kentucky bluegrass (*P. pratensis* L.), colonial bentgrass (*Agrostis capillaris* L.), and selections of tufted hairgrass was conducted at the same research farm (Table 3).

All tests were established in open areas with good air circulation. All fine fescue entries were seeded in 3 x 5 ft plots at a rate of 3.7 lb/1000ft². In the low maintenance trial, tall fescue, Texas x Kentucky bluegrass hybrids, Kentucky bluegrass, tufted hairgrass, and colonial bentgrass were seeded in 3 x 5 ft plots at a rate of 3.7, 2.2, 2.2, 2.2, and 0.5 lb/1000ft², respectively. Plots were replicated three times in a randomized complete block design.

Tests were fertilized at different rates of nitrogen and were maintained at different mowing heights (Table 6). After establishment, the moderately-low maintenance trials were irrigated only to avoid severe drought stress and dormancy. Plots were mowed frequently to avoid excessive accumulation of clippings. Broadleaf weeds were controlled with spring or fall applications of 2,4-D, dicamba, and MCPP; Dimension (dithiopyr) was used in spring and fall to control annual grassy weeds; and Merit (imidacloprid) was applied in July for grub control.

The low maintenance test (Table 3) received a total of 2, 1, and 0 lb nitrogen/1000 ft² in 2006, 2007, and 2008, respectively. No supplemental irrigation was applied. The trial was mowed with a Toro Groundsmaster rotary mower once per week at 2.5 inches (Table 6). These conditions were applied to simulate a typical low maintenance lawn in NJ.

The five tests were evaluated throughout the year by visually rating for turf quality. Turf quality is a subjective rating that is based on density, texture, brightness, uniformity, color, growth habit, and damage due to diseases or insects. Other attributes evaluated included establishment (Table 5), resistance to red thread (Tables 3 and 4) and leaf spot (caused by *Dreschlera* spp.) (Table 5), and spring green-up (Table 3). All ratings were taken using a 1 to 9 scale, where 9 represented the best turf quality, fastest stand establishment, or least disease. All data were subjected to analysis of variance. Means were separated using Fisher's protected least significant difference (LSD) means separation test.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

To facilitate the comparison of cultivars and selections within a species, data presented in Tables 1, 2, 4, and 5 are grouped by species and ranked by their multiple year quality average. Entries in Table 3 are ranked according to the turf quality average for 2008. Additional characteristics observed in various tests are discussed below.

#### **Turf Quality**

In general, the Chewings, hard, and strong creeping red fescues performed better than the other species; many selections formed a dense, attractive turf (Tables 1, 2, 4, and 5). Turf quality was good for the Chewings fescues SR 5130, Longfellow II, and

Treazure II; the hard fescues SR 3150, Predator, and Viking; and the strong creeping red fescues Audubon, SR 5250, and Cindy Lou. Although improvement in the turf quality of tufted hairgrass, Koeleria, and blue, sheep, and slender creeping red fescues continues, these species still rank lower than the others in overall turf quality (Tables 1, 2, 4, and 5). It is interesting to note that hard x blue fescue hybrids have dramatically improved compared to the blue fescue entries (Tables 1, 2, 4, and 5). The overall average quality of experimental selection SRX3BHO, a hard x blue fescue hybrid, also outperformed strong creeping red (Table 1) and slender creeping red (Tables 1, 4, and 5) fescues, which are often thought of as higher quality species. This is an example of the progress possible when using interspecific hybridization.

#### **Establishment**

Rapid establishment is important to consumers. sod-growers, and other turf managers who establish turf areas from seed. This trait is valuable in any environment, but is particularly important under the reduced inputs often associated with fine fescue management. Establishment can be influenced by factors such as genetics, seed quality, environment, management practices, and after-ripening dormancy. In general, 75% of the cultivars and selections within all species evaluated for establishment scored 6.0 or better (Table 5) based on the ability to tiller; these entries would be capable of forming a dense sward with the proper management. Specific cultivars that exhibited rapid establishment in New Jersey in 2007 include SR 5210, Audubon, and Aruba strong creeping red fescue, Aberdeen hard fescue, and Ambrosa and Shadow Chewings fescue (Table 5). Caution should be exercised when interpreting seedling vigor and establishment of a given cultivar. After-ripening dormancy in newly harvested seed can significantly affect the time to establishment. Other characteristics that affect establishment and seedling vigor include age of the seed, storage conditions, and environmental conditions at the time of seeding.

#### **Disease Resistance**

Disease resistance within the fescue species can be quite variable. Leaf spot is a foliar disease that affects all cool-season turfgrasses. Differences in tolerance to leaf spot were evident among many of the cultivars and selections tested (Table 5). As a group, tolerance is best for Chewings fescue followed by hard and strong creeping red fescues; the disease resistance of Rushmore, SR 5130, and Treazure II

Chewings fescue was exceptional. Other cultivars and selections, including Bar FR4001, Aruba, and SR 5210 strong creeping red fescue, were extremely susceptible to this disease (Table 5). Interestingly, tufted hairgrass selection BBP + EDD was highly tolerant of leaf spot; however, this was the only entry of this species evaluated in this study (Table 5).

Red thread is a problem for cool-season turfgrasses grown under low nitrogen conditions. In general, the Chewings and hard fescues were more tolerant of red thread compared to strong creeping red fescues (Table 4). Whereas the hard fescues SR 3150 and Heron hard fescues were tolerant of this disease, Culumbra II Chewings fescue and Lustrous and RAD-FRES strong creeping red fescue were badly damaged (Table 4).

#### **Low Maintenance Cultivar Evaluation**

Performance under low maintenance is an important characteristic since many home lawns are maintained under these conditions. In addition, there is growing interest to reduce fertilization and irrigation in turfgrass areas for both environmental and economic reasons.

In general, the fine fescues exhibited the best turf quality under low maintenance. The hard fescues were best in overall quality (Table 3); among these, OH1 Comp and Lucy were top performers. Of the strong creeping red fescues, turf quality was greatest in OR3 Comp, OR2 Comp, and OR1 Comp, and the quality of OC3 Comp and OC2 Comp was best among the Chewings fescues in the trial. A03TB-417, a Texas x Kentucky bluegrass hybrid experimental selection was the highest rated among this group, and A96-1201 and Aura were among the best performing Kentucky bluegrass cultivars.

### Spring green-up

Spring green-up was highly variable, ranging from 8.7 for RSP Kentucky bluegrass to 1.0 for Bewitched Kentucky bluegrass and A03TB-568 Texas x Kentucky bluegrass hybrid (Table 3). This trait is especially obvious during late winter or early spring.

#### **SUMMARY**

Breeding efforts continue to improve turf-type characteristics in the fine fescues. In an effort to increase the overall sustainability of the turfgrass

system, special attention is paid in the Rutgers turfgrass breeding program to drought, insect, and disease resistance. The goal of the program is to develop turfgrasses adapted to stressful conditions with improved turf quality and requiring fewer inputs. We continue to use endophytes as compliments to breeding efforts in an effort to improve the natural ability of a cultivar to persist under stress as well as to evaluate turfgrass species and cultivars for performance under reduced management regimes. The Rutgers breeding program continues to develop experimental selections with better quality; further improvements, however, are always needed.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station Publication No. E-12180-05-09. This work was conducted as part of NJAES Project No. 12180, supported by the New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, State, and Hatch Act Funds, the Rutgers Center for Turfgrass Science, other grants, and gifts. Additional support was received from the United States Golf Association, the New Jersey Turfgrass Association, and the National Turfgrass Evaluation Program.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Bonos, S. A., M. M. Wilson, W. A. Meyer, and C.R. Funk. 2005. Suppression of red thread in fine fescues through endophyte-mediated resistance. Online. Applied Turfgrass Science doi:10.1094/ATS-2005-0725-01-RS.
- Popay, A. J., and S. A. Bonos. 2005. Biotic responses in endophytic grasses. Pages 163-185 in: C.A. Roberts, C.P. West, and D.E. Spires, eds. *Neotyphodium* in Cool Season Grasses. Blackwell Publishing.
- Smiley, R. W., P. H. Dernoeden, and B. B. Clarke. 2005. Compendium of Turfgrass Diseases, 3rd ed. APS Press, St. Paul, MN.
- Turgeon, A.J. 2005. Turfgrass Management, 7th ed. Prentice Hall, N.J. p. 415.

Table 1. Performance of fine fescue cultivars and selections in a turf trial seeded in September 2004 at Adelphia, NJ.

			Turf Quality1-		
	2005-		•		
Cultivar or	2008	2005	2006	2007	2008
Selection	Avg.	Avg.	Avg.	Avg.	Avg.
	HAR	D FESCUE			
1 IS-FL 35-04	6.8	6.9	6.4	7.1	6.6
2 RH comp	6.7	6.7	6.3	7.0	6.9
3 IS-FL 36-04	6.6	7.2	6.1	6.9	6.3
4 IS-FL 28-03	6.5	6.8	6.2	6.5	6.6
5 MH comp	6.3	6.3	5.9	6.6	6.3
6 SRX3961	6.2	6.7	6.1	6.4	5.5
7 IS-FL 36-03	6.1	6.2	5.9	6.5	6.1
8 SRX3NJU	6.1	6.9	5.7	6.3	5.7
9 IS-FL 35-03	5.8	6.2	5.6	5.8	5.7
0 SRXCA396	5.8	6.0	6.0	6.2	5.1
1 Viking	5.8	5.9	5.6	6.1	5.8
2 Nordic	5.8	5.7	5.6	6.2	5.5
3 Eureka II	5.8	5.4	5.7	6.1	5.8
4 Oxford	5.7	5.9	5.8	6.1	5.0
5 Reliant	5.6	5.4	5.7	6.2	5.3
6 BR-HF	5.6	5.5	5.7	6.0	5.4
7 PST-4BIL	5.6	5.2	5.5	6.1	5.5
8 Ecostar	5.5	5.4	5.3	6.1	5.3
9 IS-FL 28-04	5.5	5.5	5.2	5.6	5.7
0 Soil Guard	5.3	5.1	5.1	5.9	5.1
1 Stonehenge	5.3	5.0	5.6	5.6	4.9
2 Rescue 911	5.3	5.3	5.2	5.8	4.7
3 04-EXPHF	5.2	4.8	5.3	5.7	5.1
4 Aurora II	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.5	4.9
5 SR 3100	4.9	5.0	4.9	5.2	4.4
6 SRX 3K	4.9	4.6	4.9	5.2	4.8
7 Little Bighorn	4.8	4.8	4.6	5.4	4.2
8 SRXCA3DE	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.0
	CHEWII	NGS FESCUE	:		
1 Treazure II	5.9	6.6	5.7	5.6	5.7
2 PST-SYN-4CHY	5.6	6.0	5.5	5.9	4.9
3 SR 5130	5.4	5.7	5.2	5.8	5.0
4 Compass	5.4	6.0	4.8	5.2	5.6
5 Longfellow	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.4	5.3

Table 1 (continued).

				Turf Quality <sup>1</sup> -		
		2005-				
	ultivar or	2008	2005	2006	2007	2008
S	election	Avg.	Avg.	Avg.	Avg.	Avg.
		CHEWINGS	FESCUE (co	ont.)		
6 In	trigue II	5.3	5.8	5.1	5.2	5.0
	S-FRR 23	5.3	5.8	4.6	5.0	5.6
	RXOH51H	5.2	5.0	5.1	5.5	5.3
	mbassador	5.2	5.5	4.9	5.4	5.0
	R6-JD 03	5.1	5.4	5.2	5.1	4.7
44 D	ST-SYN-4CHM	E 1	F 0	5.3	4.0	4.0
	hadow II	5.1 5.0	5.8 5.1	5.3 5.2	4.8 5.0	4.3 4.6
_	ongfellow II	5.0 5.0	5.3	5.2 4.7	5.0 5.2	4.6 4.7
	ST-SYN-4CH3	5.0 5.0	5.5 5.5	4.7 5.2	5.2 4.8	4.7 4.4
		5.0 4.5	5.5 4.3	4.3	4.0 4.8	
15 A	mbrose	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.8	4.8
16 S	R 5100	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.8	4.5
17 P	ST-SYN-FRCE	4.4	5.0	4.5	4.3	3.8
	ulumbra	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.5	4.3
19 Ja	amestown II	4.0	3.8	4.1	3.7	4.2
		HARD x I	BLUE FESCU	ΙE		
1 S	RX3BHO	5.4	5.0	5.1	6.0	5.2
	ighorn GT	5.0	4.8	4.8	5.7	4.8
		STRONG CREE	EPING RED F	ESCUE		
1 10	S-FRR 43	5.0	<b>5 6</b>	4.0	E 2	4.6
			5.5 5.2	4.8	5.2	4.6
	R comp athfinder	4.8 4.4		4.5	5.0	4.5
	hademaster III	4.4	4.5 5.2	4.4 4.4	4.8	4.1
					4.1	4.0
5 C	indy Lou	4.2	4.4	4.4	3.9	3.9
6 S	R 5250	4.1	4.7	3.9	3.6	4.1
7 A	udubon	4.0	4.1	3.9	4.3	3.8
8 P	ST-4VS-BS	4.0	4.5	3.9	3.7	3.9
9 E	pic	3.9	4.5	4.3	2.9	3.9
10 In	iverness	3.9	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.6
11 S	RXCA529	3.9	4.2	3.9	3.7	3.7
	oxy II	3.9	4.5	4.0	3.3	3.5
	oxy	3.8	4.2	3.9	3.5	3.7
	ibraltor	3.8	4.1	4.1	3.5	3.6
	berdeen	3.8	4.3	4.1	3.2	3.5

Table 1 (continued).

				Turf Quality¹		
		2005-				
	Cultivar or	2008	2005	2006	2007	2008
	Selection	Avg.	Avg.	Avg.	Avg.	Avg.
	STRO	NG CREEPII	NG RED FESC	CUE (cont.)		
16	SW RSL6032	3.7	4.4	4.1	3.2	3.2
17	SRXCA521	3.7	4.0	4.1	3.2	3.5
18	Bar-Fr-4001	3.7	4.2	4.0	3.0	3.5
19	Fenway	3.6	3.8	4.2	3.5	3.0
20	SW RSR6064	3.6	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.5
20	ev reness i	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
21	SW RSR6046	3.6	4.2	3.5	2.8	3.7
22	SR 5210	3.6	3.4	3.7	3.5	3.5
23	Celestial	3.5	4.6	3.9	2.6	3.0
24	Tiara	3.4	4.5	3.9	2.2	3.1
25	Vista	3.4	3.9	4.0	2.4	3.4
26	Aruba	3.3	3.9	3.2	3.2	3.1
27	Navigator	3.3	3.9	3.6	2.5	3.3
28	SW CYGNUS	3.3	2.9	2.9	3.6	3.6
29	Florentine	3.2	3.5	3.5	2.8	3.1
	SLI	ENDER CRE	EPING RED F	ESCUE		
1	Shoreline	4.6	5.0	4.5	4.7	4.1
2	ASR 050	4.2	4.1	4.3	4.7	3.9
3	Seabreeze GT	3.9	5.0	4.5	2.8	3.4
4	Dawson	3.6		3.8	2.8	3.4
4	Dawson	3.0	4.5	3.0	2.0	3.3
		BLU	E FESCUE			
1	SR 3210	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.4	3.8
2	SR 3200	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.1	3.4
				-		-
		SHEE	P FESCUE			
1	04-SHF	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.1	3.6
		K	DELERIA			
1	SRX6KOEL	3.6	4.7	3.5	2.8	3.4
	SRX6AA	3.5	4. <i>1</i> 4.6			
2	SKADAA	3.5	4.0	3.7	2.7	2.8

Table 1 (continued).

			Turf Quality1-		
0.16.	2005-	0005	0000	0007	0000
Cultivar or	2008	2005	2006	2007	2008
Selection	Avg.	Avg.	Avg.	Avg.	Avg.
	TUFTED	HAIRGRASS	5		
1 SR 6000	2.9	3.2	2.5	2.5	3.3
2 SRX673-21	2.8	1.5	3.0	2.7	3.9
BPP comp	2.8	3.1	3.1	2.0	2.7
4 SRX673-20	2.6	1.5	2.5	2.7	3.5
5 EDD comp	2.5	3.4	2.1	1.7	2.5
6 DC-JD 03	2.4	1.9	2.4	2.1	3.2
7 Eugene BLM	2.2	1.5	1.9	2.1	3.3
LSD at 5% =	0.7	0.7	0.9	1.2	1.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>9 = best turf quality

Table 2. Performance of fine fescue cultivars and selections in a turf trial seeded in September 2005 at Adelphia, NJ.

	Turf Quality¹					
		Turf Q	uality¹			
0 111	2006-	0000	0007	0000		
Cultivar or	2008	2006	2007	2008		
Selection	Avg.	Avg.	Avg.	Avg.		
	STRONG CREEP	ING RED FESCI	JE			
1 OR2 comp	6.3	6.3	6.1	6.4		
2 OR3 comp	6.2	6.4	6.0	6.2		
3 OR4 comp	6.0	6.2	5.4	6.3		
4 OR1 comp	5.7	6.1	5.5	5.6		
5 IS-FRR 43	5.2	5.5	4.7	5.3		
6 PST-Syn-48ED	5.1	5.3	4.8	5.3		
7 IS-FRR 44	5.0	5.2	4.7	5.1		
8 RAD-FR 7	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.2		
9 RAD-FR 8	4.7	5.0	4.5	4.5		
10 SR 5250	4.6	5.2	4.4	4.2		
11 PST-Syn-48Y	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.7		
12 Cindy Lou	4.5	4.8	4.1	4.5		
13 Gibraltor	4.4	5.1	4.5	3.6		
14 Aberdeen	4.3	4.8	4.6	3.7		
15 PST-Syn-48ET	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.5		
16 PST-Syn-4SLT	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.1		
17 SRX CA 529	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.1		
18 SRX CA 521	3.8	4.4	4.0	3.1		
19 Audubon	3.8	3.4	3.9	4.0		
20 Swing	3.7	4.4	3.8	2.9		
21 Tiara	3.7	4.3	3.9	2.9		
22 SR 5210	3.5	3.7	3.3	3.4		
23 Pathfinder	3.4	3.7	3.7	2.9		
24 PST-Syn-4EQG	3.3	3.8	3.2	2.9		
25 Polka	3.1	3.5	3.2	2.6		
	CHEWING	S FESCUE				
1 RAD-FC 9	6.0	6.4	5.7	5.9		
2 OC2 comp	5.9	6.3	5.9	5.5		
3 PST-Syn-4S111	5.7	5.7	5.8	5.5		
4 SR 5130	5.6	6.0	5.8	5.0		
5 OC3 comp	5.6	5.5	5.4	5.8		

Table 2 (continued).

		Turf Quality¹					
		2006-	Tun Q	uality			
	Cultivar or	2008	2006	2007	2008		
	Selection	Avg.	Avg.	Avg.	Avg.		
	(	CHEWINGS FI	ESCUE (cont.)				
6	PST-Syn-4EGC	5.3	5.9	5.1	5.1		
	Longfellow II	5.3	5.4	5.3	5.3		
	Ambassador	5.1	5.4	5.0	4.9		
9	IS-FRC 23	5.1	5.3	5.0	4.9		
10	Ambrose	5.1	5.0	5.2	5.0		
11	IS-FRC 12	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		
		5.0	5.3	4.8	4.8		
	OC1 comp						
13	Shadow II	4.8	5.0	4.9	4.6		
14	Culumbra II	4.8	5.0	4.7	4.9		
15	JF-3	4.7	4.5	4.8	4.8		
16	Compass	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.2		
17	SR 5100	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.1		
		HARD F	ESCUE				
1	Viking	5.9	5.8	6.0	5.9		
1	Viking PST-4HES	5.9 5.9	5.6 5.9	5.9	5.8		
	SRX CA 396	5.8	5.9 5.7	5.9 5.9			
3					5.9		
4	OH1 comp	5.8	5.2	6.2	5.9		
5	IS-FL 38	5.7	5.5	5.9	5.7		
6	SR 3150	5.6	5.6	5.9	5.2		
7		5.3	5.0	5.1	5.6		
8	PST-4NY	5.2	5.1	5.4	5.2		
9	PST-Syn-4HEY	5.1	4.4	5.3	5.5		
10	Aurora II	5.0	4.8	5.1	5.1		
11	SRX NJU	5.0	5.0	5.2	4.9		
12	SRX 3K	5.0	4.6	4.9	5.3		
13	Stonehenge	4.9	4.6	5.2	5.0		
14	Aurora Gold	4.8	4.5	4.8	5.1		
15	SR 3100	4.0 4.4	3.7	4.6	4.9		
15	SK 3100	4.4	3.1	4.0	4.9		
16	SRX CA 3DE	4.3	4.7	4.2	4.0		

Table 2 (continued).

Turf Quality¹						
		2006-		•		
	Cultivar or	2008	2006	2007	2008	
	Selection	Avg.	Avg.	Avg.	Avg.	
		SLENDER CREEP	ING RED FESC	UE		
1	Shoreline	4.8	5.5	4.3	4.7	
2	Seabreeze GT	4.3	5.1	4.3	3.6	
3	Foxy II	4.3	4.6	4.1	4.2	
4	ASR 050	4.2	5.0	3.7	3.9	
5	Dawson	3.6	4.2	3.8	3.0	
		HARD x BL	UE FESCUE			
1	SRX 3BHO	4.8	4.5	4.7	5.3	
2	Bighorn GT	4.8	4.4	5.1	5.0	
3	Little Bighorn	3.9	3.8	3.9	4.1	
		BLUE F	ESCUE			
1	SR 3210	3.8	4.0	3.5	3.8	
2	SR 3200	3.4	3.5	3.1	3.6	
	LSD at 5% =	0.8	0.9	0.7	1.1	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>9 = best turf quality

Table 3. Performance of turfgrass selections in a low maintenance trial seeded in September 2005 at Adelphia, NJ.

				Turf G	Quality1		Spring	Red
	Cultivar or Selection	Species	2006- 2008 Avg.	2006 Avg.	2007 Avg.	2008 Avg.	Green-up <sup>2</sup> April 2008	Thread <sup>3</sup> June 2008
			Avg.	Avg.			2000	2000
1	OH1 Comp	Hard fescue	8.0	7.0	8.5	8.4	4.0	8.0
2	Lucy	Hard fescue	7.8	7.5	8.3	7.7	3.7	7.7
3	Nordic	Hard fescue	7.7	7.4	7.5	8.3	5.0	8.3
4	Oxford	Hard fescue	7.7	7.0	8.2	7.7	5.0	7.7
5	Stonehenge	Hard fescue	7.1	7.0	7.3	7.2	5.0	7.0
6	OR3 Comp	Strong creeping red fescue	6.9	7.3	7.1	6.3	6.0	5.0
7	OR2 Comp	Strong creeping red fescue	6.8	7.2	6.9	6.3	5.0	4.3
8	OR1 Comp	Strong creeping red fescue	6.7	7.3	6.5	6.3	6.0	5.0
9	OC3 Comp	Chewings fescue	6.4	6.6	6.7	6.1	4.7	3.0
10	OC2 Comp	Chewings fescue	6.4	6.6	6.3	6.3	5.7	3.3
11	Culumbra II	Chewings fescue	6.1	6.1	6.3	6.0	7.0	4.3
12	OC1 Comp	Chewings fescue	6.1	5.9	6.3	6.1	6.3	3.0
13	A03TB-417	Texas x Kentucky bluegrass hybrid	6.0	6.4	5.7	5.9	4.3	9.0
	OR4 Comp	Strong creeping red fescue	6.0	6.8	6.1	5.1	5.3	4.3
15	Ambrose	Chewings fescue	5.9	5.9	5.8	6.2	5.7	4.0
16	Ambassador	Chewings fescue	5.9	6.7	5.9	5.3	7.3	3.0
17	Falcon IV	Tall fescue	5.8	5.9	5.5	6.1	6.3	9.0
18	Jasper II	Strong creeping red fescue	5.8	6.9	6.0	4.6	6.3	3.0
19	2nd Millenium	Tall fescue	5.8	5.6	6.0	5.8	6.0	9.0
20	A96-1201	Kentucky bluegrass	5.8	5.8	6.1	5.4	1.7	9.0
21	Aura	Kentucky bluegrass	5.7	5.6	6.5	5.1	7.3	9.0
22	Celestial	Strong creeping red fescue	5.6	6.6	5.4	4.9	5.7	4.3
23	Cindy Lou	Strong creeping red fescue	5.6	6.3	5.6	4.9	6.3	4.0
24	Rembrant	Tall fescue	5.5	6.0	5.3	5.3	5.7	9.0
25	Constitution	Tall fescue	5.4	5.7	5.2	5.3	4.3	8.3

Table 3 (continued).

			Turf Quality <sup>1</sup>				Spring	Red
			2006-		-		Green-up <sup>2</sup>	Thread <sup>3</sup>
	Cultivar or		2008	2006	2007	2008	April	June
,	Selection	Species	Avg.	Avg.	Avg.	Avg.	2008	2008
26	Five Point	Tall fescue	5.3	5.7	4.9	5.3	4.7	9.0
27	Eagleton	Kentucky bluegrass	5.3	4.9	6.3	4.7	3.0	9.0
28	Princeton P-105	Kentucky bluegrass	5.3	6.2	5.8	3.7	2.3	9.0
29	Mustang 3	Tall fescue	5.3	5.1	5.3	5.3	5.7	9.0
30	Titanium	Tall fescue	5.3	5.7	4.9	5.3	5.7	8.3
31	H94-305	Kentucky bluegrass	5.2	4.3	5.6	5.7	8.3	9.0
	Chochise III	Tall fescue	5.2	5.4	5.0	5.3	4.7	8.7
	Inferno	Tall fescue	5.2	5.5	5.2	4.9	4.7	9.0
	Zinfandel	Kentucky bluegrass	5.2	6.3	5.7	3.5	3.0	9.0
35	Sonic	Kentucky bluegrass	5.1	4.9	5.7	4.9	5.0	9.0
	Tar Heel II	Tall fescue	5.1	5.1	4.9	5.3	6.0	9.0
	Champagne	Kentucky bluegrass	5.1	4.7	5.4	5.2	4.0	9.0
	Cabernet	Kentucky bluegrass	5.1	4.6	5.7	4.9	5.0	9.0
	A03TB-676	Texas x Kentucky bluegrass hybrid	5.0	5.5	5.6	4.0	6.3	9.0
10	A01-881	Texas x Kentucky bluegrass hybrid	5.0	4.7	5.1	5.2	4.3	9.0
11	Preakness	Kentucky bluegrass	5.0	4.5	5.9	4.6	2.3	9.0
	Diva	Kentucky bluegrass	4.9	5.2	5.5	4.0	3.3	9.0
	A03TB-559	Texas x Kentucky bluegrass hybrid	4.9	5.6	4.8	4.3	3.7	9.0
	A03TB-668	Texas x Kentucky bluegrass hybrid	4.8	5.1	4.9	4.4	5.3	9.0
15	A03TB-708	Texas x Kentucky bluegrass hybrid	4.7	5.7	4.9	3.7	8.3	9.0
	A99LM-15	Texas x Kentucky bluegrass hybrid	4.7	5.4	4.5	4.1	5.7	9.0
	A03TB-718	Texas x Kentucky bluegrass hybrid	4.7	5.7	4.6	3.9	4.3	9.0
	Bewitched	Kentucky bluegrass	4.7	4.8	5.6	3.6	1.0	9.0
	Rhapsody	Kentucky bluegrass	4.6	5.2	5.2	3.5	3.3	9.0
50	Brunswick	Kentucky bluegrass	4.6	4.4	5.8	3.8	4.0	9.0

Table 3 (continued).

			Turf Quality <sup>1</sup>				Spring	Red
	Cultivar or		2006- 2008	2006	2007	2008	Green-up² April	Thread <sup>3</sup> June
	Selection	Species	Avg.	Avg.	Avg.	Avg.	2008	2008
51	Starburst	Kentucky bluegrass	4.6	4.0	5.0	4.7	6.3	9.0
52	A03TB-431	Texas x Kentucky bluegrass hybrid	4.5	5.6	4.5	3.6	3.7	9.0
53	LT2 Comp	Colonial bentgrass	4.5	5.3	4.6	3.5	3.7	3.3
54	Midnight	Kentucky bluegrass	4.5	5.2	5.2	2.9	2.0	9.0
55	RSP	Kentucky bluegrass	4.4	4.8	4.5	4.1	8.7	9.0
56	Dragon	Kentucky bluegrass	4.2	4.1	4.6	4.0	3.7	9.0
57	A02-975	Texas x Kentucky bluegrass hybrid	4.2	6.0	3.9	2.9	4.7	9.0
58	Brooklawn	Kentucky bluegrass	4.2	4.6	4.4	3.6	5.3	9.0
59	LT1 Comp	Colonial bentgrass	4.2	5.6	4.3	2.7	3.3	2.3
60	Bedazzled	Kentucky bluegrass	4.2	4.4	4.8	3.3	2.3	9.0
61	Tiger II	Colonial bentgrass	4.1	5.7	4.4	2.4	1.7	2.0
62	LT3 Comp	Colonial bentgrass	4.1	5.0	4.5	2.7	3.0	2.3
63	LSD Comp	Tufted hairgrass	4.1	4.4	3.6	4.3	4.7	9.0
64	A03TB-246	Texas x Kentucky bluegrass hybrid	4.0	5.1	3.9	3.2	5.0	9.0
65	Southeast	Tall fescue	4.0	3.4	4.1	4.5	8.3	9.0
66	A03TB-795	Texas x Kentucky bluegrass hybrid	4.0	4.4	4.6	2.9	2.3	9.0
67	A04TB-192	Texas x Kentucky bluegrass hybrid	4.0	5.3	3.5	3.1	4.0	9.0
68	Throughblue	Kentucky bluegrass	3.9	4.0	4.4	3.3	3.7	9.0
69	A03TB-568	Texas x Kentucky bluegrass hybrid	3.9	4.8	4.7	2.3	1.0	9.0
70	A03TB-256	Texas x Kentucky bluegrass hybrid	3.9	5.0	3.6	3.1	6.0	9.0
71	Reveille	Texas x Kentucky bluegrass hybrid	3.8	2.8	4.6	4.1	3.7	9.0
72	ESD Comp	Tufted hairgrass	3.8	4.4	3.2	3.8	4.7	9.0
73	A03TB-490	Texas x Kentucky bluegrass hybrid	3.6	4.4	3.9	2.6	1.7	9.0
74	Unique	Kentucky bluegrass	3.6	4.4	4.1	2.4	1.3	9.0
75	A03TB-412	Texas x Kentucky bluegrass hybrid	3.6	3.6	3.4	3.9	2.7	9.0

Table 3 (continued).

			Turf Quality <sup>1</sup>				Spring	Red
	Cultivar or Selection	Species	2006- 2008 Avg.	2006 Avg.	2007 Avg.	2008 Avg.	Green-up² April 2008	Thread <sup>3</sup> June 2008
76	A03TB-788	Texas x Kentucky bluegrass hybrid	3.5	4.3	3.3	3.1	4.0	9.0
77	A03TB-286	Texas x Kentucky bluegrass hybrid	3.5	3.2	4.1	3.3	4.0	9.0
78	A04TB-5	Texas x Kentucky bluegrass hybrid	3.5	5.1	2.9	2.5	4.3	9.0
79	A02-943	Texas x Kentucky bluegrass hybrid	3.5	5.0	2.6	2.9	4.7	9.0
30	Moonlight	Kentucky bluegrass	3.1	3.1	4.0	2.0	1.3	9.0
31	A03TB-361	Texas x Kentucky bluegrass hybrid	3.0	3.5	2.7	3.0	5.0	8.7
32	PST-DRM Bulk	Tufted hairgrass	2.8	3.2	2.4	2.7	3.3	9.0
33	Shade Champ	Tufted hairgrass	2.6	3.3	2.3	2.3	3.0	9.0
34	Eugene BLM	Tufted hairgrass	2.6	2.2	2.1	3.4	4.3	9.0
	LSD at 5% =		0.9	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.5	1.0

 <sup>19 =</sup> best turf quality
 29 = earliest spring green-up
 39 = least disease

Table 4. Performance of fine fescue cultivars and selections in a turf trial seeded in September 2006 at Adelphia, NJ.

		Turf Quality¹		Red
Cultivar or Selection	2007- 2008 Avg.	2007 Avg.	2008 Avg.	Thread² May 2008
	CHEWING	S FESCUE		
RAD-FC10	6.5	6.8	6.1	6.0
RAD-FC11	6.4	6.3	6.6	5.7
RAD-FC3	6.1	6.6	5.6	5.7
RAD-FCQS	5.9	6.2	5.7	5.7
5 Intrigue II	5.8	6.1	5.6	5.7
6 OC1	5.8	5.7	5.8	6.3
7 Rushmore	5.7	5.8	5.7	5.0
IS-FRC 27	5.7	5.9	5.5	4.3
O Compass	5.6	5.7	5.6	5.3
RAD-FCFCYS	5.6	6.2	5.1	4.7
SR 5130	5.6	6.1	5.0	4.7
2 Longfellow II	5.3	5.3	5.2	4.0
Culumbra II	5.2	5.8	4.6	2.7
Shadow II	5.1	5.3	4.9	3.7
7 Seas	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.3
6 PST-Syn-4CT	4.8	5.2	4.4	4.7
CHFSHHY	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7
B PST-4C29 Bulk	4.5	4.9	4.0	3.3
9 SR 5100	3.9	4.0	3.8	5.3
	HARD F	ESCUE		
IS-FL 40	5.7	5.1	6.2	6.7
2 Viking	5.7	5.4	5.9	6.0
3 Stonehenge	5.5	5.6	5.3	5.3
l Predator	5.3	5.2	5.4	6.0
5 SR 3100	5.3	5.4	5.2	6.0
S SRX CA396	5.2	5.1	5.4	6.0
Heron	5.0	5.0	5.0	7.0
3 Matterhorn	5.0	4.5	5.4	6.3
SRX NJU	5.0	4.7	5.2	6.7
SR 3150	5.0	4.7	5.3	7.3
EXPHF	4.9	4.8	5.0	5.3
Chariot	4.9	4.8 4.9	4.9	5.3 6.7
3 Aurora II	4.6	4.4	4.8	6.3
SRX 3K	4.4	4.5	4.3	6.3

Table 4 (continued).

		Turf Quality¹								
	Cultivarian	2007-	2007	2000	Thread <sup>2</sup>					
	Cultivar or Selection	2008	2007	2008	May 2008					
	Selection	Avg.	Avg.	Avg.	2006					
	STRONG CREEPING RED FESCUE									
1	OR1	5.7	5.7	5.7	5.3					
2	ZT comp	5.6	5.8	5.4	4.7					
3	RAD-FR13	5.2	5.3	5.1	4.7					
4	IS-FRR 52	5.1	5.2	5.0	5.0					
5	RCM	5.1	5.0	5.1	4.7					
6	MYSFRR-30	5.0	5.2	4.9	3.7					
7	RAD-FRQS	5.0	5.4	4.5	3.7					
8	RAD-FR4	4.9	5.1	4.8	4.7					
9	RAD-FR12	4.7	5.4	4.1	3.3					
10	Epic	4.7	5.4	3.9	3.7					
	_pio		0.1	0.0	0.1					
11	RAD-FRES	4.6	4.8	4.3	3.0					
12	Aberdeen	4.5	4.7	4.3	5.0					
13	SRX CA529	4.5	4.6	4.5	5.0					
14	SR 5250	4.5	4.9	4.0	4.3					
15	Scaldis II	4.4	4.5	4.4	5.0					
16	Tiara	4.4	4.6	4.2	3.3					
17	Lustrous	4.4	4.9	3.9	3.0					
18	Navigator	4.4	4.6	4.2	5.0					
19	RAD-FR15	4.4	4.8	4.0	3.0					
20	RAD-FR14	4.3	4.7	3.9	4.3					
04	Comilla	4.0	4.4	4.0	4.0					
21	Camilla	4.2	4.4	4.0	4.0					
22	Gibraltor	4.2	4.4	4.1	3.7					
23	SRX CA521	4.2	4.4	4.0	4.0					
24	Inverness	4.2	4.3	4.1	5.0					
25	Razor	4.2	4.4	4.0	4.3					
26	Swing	3.9	4.2	3.5	4.3					
27	Polka	3.8	4.2	3.4	5.0					
28	SR 5210	3.4	3.6	3.2	5.0					
HARD x BLUE FESCUE										
4	CDV 2DUO	4.0	4.4	E 0	7.0					
1	SRX 3BHO	4.9	4.4	5.3	7.0					
2	3	4.0	4.4	3.6	5.7					
3		2.9	2.6	3.2	6.7					
4	SR 3200	2.5	2.3	2.7	5.3					

Table 4 (continued).

			Turf Quality <sup>1</sup>				
	0 111	2007-			Thread <sup>2</sup>		
	Cultivar or	2008	2007	2008	May		
	Selection	Avg.	Avg.	Avg.	2008		
		SLENDER CREE	PING RED FESC	UE			
1	Shoreline	4.7	4.8	4.5	3.7		
2	PSG 55QRS	4.6	4.5	4.7	3.7		
3	Seabreeze GT	4.5	4.9	4.1	4.3		
4	Raggae	3.8	4.3	3.2	4.3		
5	Dawson	3.7	3.8	3.7	5.0		
		SHEEP	FESCUE				
1	04-SHF	3.9	4.2	3.6	6.0		
2	Azure	3.2	3.3	3.1	3.7		
3	10126	2.8	2.8	2.8	5.3		
		TUFTED H	IAIRGRASS				
1	SED comp	3.0	3.8	2.2	9.0		
2	·	2.7	3.3	2.0	9.0		
	LSD at 5% =	0.7	0.7	0.9	2.0		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>9 = best turf quality <sup>2</sup>9 = least disease

Table 5. Performance of fine fesue cultivars and selections in a turf trial seeded in September 2007 at Adelphia, NJ.

Cultivar or Selection	Turf Quality <sup>1</sup> 2008	Establishment <sup>2</sup> Oct. 2007	Leaf Spot May 2008	
	CHEWINGS FE	SCUE		
1 RAD-FC23	6.1	6.3	7.3	
2 Rushmore	5.7	6.7	8.3	
3 CW2 Comp	5.6	7.0	8.0	
4 SR 5130	5.6	7.0	7.3	
5 CW1 Comp	5.5	7.0	8.0	
6 RAD-FC9	5.5	6.7	7.3	
7 RAD-FC24	5.5	6.7	8.7	
8 PST-Syn-4CTE	5.3	6.3	5.7	
9 RAD-FC22	5.2	6.3	7.7	
10 OC1	5.2	6.3	8.0	
11 Longfellow II	5.2	7.0	6.3	
12 IS-FRC 30	5.2	6.3	7.0	
13 Ambrosa	5.1	7.3	5.7	
14 Treazure II	5.0	6.7	7.0	
15 Shadow II	4.9	7.3	6.0	
16 PST-4RC	4.9	6.0	7.0	
17 Silhouette	4.8	7.0	5.3	
18 PST-Syn-4CIB	4.6	5.3	7.0	
19 J-5	4.5	6.7	5.0	
20 Culumbra II	4.3	6.7	5.7	
21 Jamestown II	3.7	6.3	4.0	
22 SR 5100	2.0	1.0	6.0	
	STRONG CREEPING F	ED FESCUE		
1 RM Comp	5.8	6.3	6.3	
2 OS4 Comp	5.6	6.7	7.3	
3 OS2 Comp	5.5	6.3	5.7	
4 IS-FRR 51	5.4	6.7	7.0	
5 CAR Comp	5.4	6.3	6.0	
6 PST-4CREE	5.4	5.3	5.7	
7 IS-FRR 52	5.4	7.0	6.3	
8 RAD-FR25	5.3	6.7	4.3	
9 RAD-FR21	5.3	6.7	5.3	
10 RAD-FR7	5.3	6.7	6.0	

Cultivar or Selection	Turf Quality <sup>1</sup> 2008	Establishment <sup>2</sup> Oct. 2007	Leaf Spot <sup>3</sup> May 2008
	STRONG CREEPING RED	FESCUE (cont.)	
11 RCR Comp	5.2	6.3	6.0
12 OS1 Comp	5.2	7.0	5.3
13 IS-FRR 55	5.1	6.3	5.0
14 Shademaster III	5.1	5.7	6.0
15 Crossbow	5.0	7.0	5.3
16 RAD-FR26	4.9	6.0	5.0
17 PST-48Y7	4.9	6.3	5.3
18 Garnet	4.8	7.0	5.0
19 Jasper II	4.8	6.0	3.7
20 OS3 Comp	4.7	7.0	5.7
21 SJC Comp	4.6	6.7	6.0
22 BAR FR 4001	4.5	7.3	2.7
23 SR 5250	4.5	7.0	3.3
24 Wendy Jean	4.4	6.7	3.7
25 Audubon	4.4	8.3	4.3
26 Cindy Lou	4.2	6.7	3.0
27 Gibraltor	4.1	7.0	5.0
28 Tiara	4.0	5.7	3.7
29 SR 5210	3.6	8.7	1.3
30 Scaldis II	3.0	2.3	6.3
31 Aruba	3.0	8.3	1.7
	HARD FESC	UE	
1 EG1 Comp	5.7	6.0	7.0
2 IS-FL 40	5.7	6.7	6.7
3 Soil Guard	5.6	6.0	6.0
4 MG4 Comp	5.5	5.7	7.3
5 MG2 Comp	5.4	5.7	6.7
6 Predator	5.4	5.3	6.3
7 7 Seas	5.4	7.0	6.7
8 MG3 Comp	5.3	6.0	6.7
9 SR 3150	5.3	6.0	6.3
10 Rescue 911	5.2	6.0	6.0
11 Ecostar	5.2	5.7	6.0
12 MG1 Comp	5.1	6.0	5.7
13 PST-4HES	5.1	6.0	6.3
14 EG2 Comp	5.1	5.0	6.3
15 WB	5.1	5.3	6.7
			(Continu

Table 5 (continued).

Cultivar or Selection	Turf Quality <sup>1</sup> 2008	Establishment <sup>2</sup> Oct. 2007	Leaf Spot <sup>3</sup> May 2008
	HARD FESCUE	(cont.)	
16 SR 3100	5.1	6.0	6.3
17 SRX NJU	5.1	6.0	6.3
18 PST-4NY	5.0	6.3	6.7
19 Reliant IV	4.9	6.7	6.0
20 AM-FL39	4.9	6.0	5.0
21 Z 6300	4.8	6.3	6.7
22 Viking	4.7	6.0	5.3
23 Razor	4.7	6.7	4.0
24 Aberdeen	4.6	7.7	3.7
25 Aurora II	4.6	5.7	6.3
26 IS-FL 42	4.6	4.7	6.0
27 Epic	4.0	6.0	4.7
	HARD x BLUE FI	ESCUE	
1 SRX 3BHO	5.2	5.0	6.3
2 SRX 3K	5.1	5.7	6.7
3 Bighorn GT	5.1	6.7	6.3
4 Little Bighorn	4.4	5.7	4.3
	SLENDER CREEPING I	RED FESCUE	
1 Shoreline	4.9	7.3	5.7
2 Shoreline	4.4	6.3	4.7
3 Seabreeze GT	4.0	7.0	3.7
4 Dawson	3.9	2.7	4.3
	SHEEP FESC	EUE	
1 Azure	4.1	5.3	6.0
2 RAD-FO7	3.7	4.3	5.3
	BLUE FESC	UE	
1 SR 3210	3.2	4.3	5.7
2 SR 3200	3.1	4.0	4.0
	TUFTED HAIRG	RASS	
1 BBP+EDD	2.6	5.7	8.0

Table 5 (continued).

Cultivar or	Turf Quality¹	Establishment <sup>2</sup>	Leaf Spot <sup>3</sup>
Selection	2008	Oct. 2007	May 2008
LSD at 5% =	0.9	1.4	1.4

<sup>19 =</sup> best turf quality
29 = most rapid establishment
39 = least disease

Table 6. Yearly nitrogen (N) applied and mowing height (Ht) on fine fescue tests established at Adelphia, NJ.

	2005		20	2006		07	2008	
	N¹	Ht <sup>2</sup>	N	Ht	N	Ht	N	Ht
Table 1 (2004)	1.5	1.5	1.7	1.5	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.5
Table 2 (2005)			1.8	1.5	1.0	1.5	1.3	1.5
Table 3 (2005 Low Maintenance)			2.0	2.5	1.0	2.5	0.0	2.5
Table 4 (2006)					1.0	1.5	1.0	1.5
Table 5 (2007)							1.3	1.5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Annual N applied (lb/1000 ft²) <sup>2</sup>Mowing height in inches

